

Tangent cones of Kähler-Einstein metrics

Hans-Joachim Hein
UMd College Park & Fordham University

joint work with
Song Sun
Stony Brook University

July 13, 2016

Calabi-Yau Theorem: *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with $c_1(X) = 0$. Then every Kähler class $\mathfrak{k} \in H^2(X)$ contains a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric $\omega \in \mathfrak{k}$.*

Calabi-Yau Theorem: *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with $c_1(X) = 0$. Then every Kähler class $\mathfrak{k} \in H^2(X)$ contains a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric $\omega \in \mathfrak{k}$.*

Example: Let f be a homogeneous complex polynomial of degree $n + 2$ in $n + 2$ complex variables. Let

$$X = X_f = \{[z_1 : \dots : z_{n+2}] \in \mathbb{C}P^{n+1} : f(z_1, \dots, z_{n+2}) = 0\}.$$

If f is generic, then X is smooth with $c_1(X) = 0$.

Calabi-Yau Theorem: *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with $c_1(X) = 0$. Then every Kähler class $\mathfrak{k} \in H^2(X)$ contains a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric $\omega \in \mathfrak{k}$.*

Example: Let f be a homogeneous complex polynomial of degree $n + 2$ in $n + 2$ complex variables. Let

$$X = X_f = \{[z_1 : \dots : z_{n+2}] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n+1} : f(z_1, \dots, z_{n+2}) = 0\}.$$

If f is generic, then X is smooth with $c_1(X) = 0$.

Can take $\mathfrak{k} = 2\pi c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)|_X)$. Then $\omega_{\text{FS}}|_X \in \mathfrak{k}$, so there exists a smooth function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, unique up to constants, such that the Kähler form $\omega = \omega_{\text{FS}}|_X + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \in \mathfrak{k}$ is Ricci-flat.

Calabi-Yau Theorem: Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with $c_1(X) = 0$. Then every Kähler class $\mathfrak{k} \in H^2(X)$ contains a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric $\omega \in \mathfrak{k}$.

Example: Let f be a homogeneous complex polynomial of degree $n + 2$ in $n + 2$ complex variables. Let

$$X = X_f = \{[z_1 : \dots : z_{n+2}] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n+1} : f(z_1, \dots, z_{n+2}) = 0\}.$$

If f is generic, then X is smooth with $c_1(X) = 0$.

Can take $\mathfrak{k} = 2\pi c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)|_X)$. Then $\omega_{\text{FS}}|_X \in \mathfrak{k}$, so there exists a smooth function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, unique up to constants, such that the Kähler form $\omega = \omega_{\text{FS}}|_X + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \in \mathfrak{k}$ is Ricci-flat.

Today: Let $f = f_t$ move in a holomorphic family parametrized by $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume $X_t = X_{f_t}$ is smooth as above for all $t \neq 0$ but X_0 is singular. **What happens to the Ricci-flat metric ω_t ($t \neq 0$) representing $\mathfrak{k}_t = 2\pi c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)|_{X_t})$ as $t \rightarrow 0$?**

We are lightyears away from understanding this properly. Main enemy is [collapsing](#). In the $n = 1$ cubic example, (X_t, ω_t) is a flat 2-torus for all $t \neq 0$ that GH-converges to a line as $t \rightarrow 0$.

We are lightyears away from understanding this properly. Main enemy is **collapsing**. In the $n = 1$ cubic example, (X_t, ω_t) is a flat 2-torus for all $t \neq 0$ that GH-converges to a line as $t \rightarrow 0$.

To avoid collapsing it is necessary to assume that the singularities of the complex variety X_0 are **sufficiently mild ('canonical')**.

We are lightyears away from understanding this properly. Main enemy is **collapsing**. In the $n = 1$ cubic example, (X_t, ω_t) is a flat 2-torus for all $t \neq 0$ that GH-converges to a line as $t \rightarrow 0$.

To avoid collapsing it is necessary to assume that the singularities of the complex variety X_0 are **sufficiently mild ('canonical')**.

- $n = 1$: canonical \Leftrightarrow smooth, i.e. no singularity at all

We are lightyears away from understanding this properly. Main enemy is **collapsing**. In the $n = 1$ cubic example, (X_t, ω_t) is a flat 2-torus for all $t \neq 0$ that GH-converges to a line as $t \rightarrow 0$.

To avoid collapsing it is necessary to assume that the singularities of the complex variety X_0 are **sufficiently mild ('canonical')**.

- $n = 1$: canonical \Leftrightarrow smooth, i.e. no singularity at all
- $n = 2$: canonical \Leftrightarrow locally biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2/Γ for a finite group $\Gamma \subset \text{SU}(2)$ acting freely on $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$

In particular, canonical singularities are isolated for $n = 2$.

We are lightyears away from understanding this properly. Main enemy is **collapsing**. In the $n = 1$ cubic example, (X_t, ω_t) is a flat 2-torus for all $t \neq 0$ that GH-converges to a line as $t \rightarrow 0$.

To avoid collapsing it is necessary to assume that the singularities of the complex variety X_0 are **sufficiently mild ('canonical')**.

- $n = 1$: canonical \Leftrightarrow smooth, i.e. no singularity at all
- $n = 2$: canonical \Leftrightarrow locally biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2/Γ for a finite group $\Gamma \subset \text{SU}(2)$ acting freely on $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$

In particular, canonical singularities are isolated for $n = 2$.

- For $n \geq 3$, a canonical singularity need not be isolated. Even if it is isolated, it is rarely (for us: never) of the form \mathbb{C}^n/Γ .

If $n = 2$ and if X_0 has only canonical singularities (i.e. isolated orbifold singularities of the form \mathbb{C}^2/Γ), then the behavior of the Ricci-flat metrics ω_t on X_t as $t \rightarrow 0$ is **completely understood**.

If $n = 2$ and if X_0 has only canonical singularities (i.e. isolated orbifold singularities of the form \mathbb{C}^2/Γ), then the behavior of the Ricci-flat metrics ω_t on X_t as $t \rightarrow 0$ is **completely understood**.

1) **orbifold version of the Calabi-Yau theorem** (folklore) \Rightarrow there is a unique Ricci-flat Kähler orbifold metric $\omega_0 \in \mathfrak{k}_0$ on X_0

I.e. if $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ is the quotient map, then locally

$$\pi^* \omega_0 = \omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} + \text{smooth errors.}$$

I.e. (X_0, ω_0) is locally asymptotic to a flat cone \mathbb{C}^2/Γ .

Not even quasi-isometric to the singularities of $\omega_{\text{FS}}|_{X_0}$!

If $n = 2$ and if X_0 has only canonical singularities (i.e. isolated orbifold singularities of the form \mathbb{C}^2/Γ), then the behavior of the Ricci-flat metrics ω_t on X_t as $t \rightarrow 0$ is **completely understood**.

1) **orbifold version of the Calabi-Yau theorem** (folklore) \Rightarrow there is a unique Ricci-flat Kähler orbifold metric $\omega_0 \in \mathfrak{k}_0$ on X_0

I.e. if $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ is the quotient map, then locally

$$\pi^* \omega_0 = \omega_{\mathbb{C}^2} + \text{smooth errors.}$$

I.e. (X_0, ω_0) is locally asymptotic to a flat cone \mathbb{C}^2/Γ .

Not even quasi-isometric to the singularities of $\omega_{\text{FS}}|_{X_0}$!

2) **Gluing construction** (\exists many complete noncompact Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds asymptotic to \mathbb{C}^2/Γ at infinity) $\Rightarrow \omega_t$ converges smoothly to ω_0 away from X_0^{sing} , and globally in the GH sense. (Biquard-Rollin 2012, Spotti 2012)

If $n \geq 3$, even if X_0 has only canonical singularities, Yau tells us nothing even remotely as precise as 1), so 2) is doomed. A great deal of [abstract theory](#) has been developed to fix this.

If $n \geq 3$, even if X_0 has only canonical singularities, Yau tells us nothing even remotely as precise as 1), so 2) is doomed. A great deal of **abstract theory** has been developed to fix this.

- There exists a smooth function φ_0 on X_0^{reg} , globally bounded, unique up to constants, such that $\omega_0 = \omega_{FS}|_{X_0} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0$ is Ricci-flat. (Eyssidieux-Guedj-Zeriahi 2009, Demailly-Pali 2010.) But: **No information about second derivatives of φ_0 near X_0^{sing} .**
- ω_t converges to ω_0 smoothly on compact subsets of X_0^{reg} , and (X_t, ω_t) GH-converges globally to the completion of (X_0^{reg}, ω_0) . Volume fixed, diameter bounded above. (Rong-Zhang 2011)

If $n \geq 3$, even if X_0 has only canonical singularities, Yau tells us nothing even remotely as precise as 1), so 2) is doomed. A great deal of **abstract theory** has been developed to fix this.

- There exists a smooth function φ_0 on X_0^{reg} , globally bounded, unique up to constants, such that $\omega_0 = \omega_{FS}|_{X_0} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0$ is Ricci-flat. (Eyssidieux-Guedj-Zeriahi 2009, Demailly-Pali 2010.) But: **No information about second derivatives of φ_0 near X_0^{sing} .**

- ω_t converges to ω_0 smoothly on compact subsets of X_0^{reg} , and (X_t, ω_t) GH-converges globally to the completion of (X_0^{reg}, ω_0) . Volume fixed, diameter bounded above. (Rong-Zhang 2011)

Question: Could the singular set of the completion of (X_0^{reg}, ω_0) be much larger than the singular set of the variety X_0 ?

If $n \geq 3$, even if X_0 has only canonical singularities, Yau tells us nothing even remotely as precise as 1), so 2) is doomed. A great deal of **abstract theory** has been developed to fix this.

- There exists a smooth function φ_0 on X_0^{reg} , globally bounded, unique up to constants, such that $\omega_0 = \omega_{FS}|_{X_0} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_0$ is Ricci-flat. (Eyssidieux-Guedj-Zeriahi 2009, Demailly-Pali 2010.) But: **No information about second derivatives of φ_0 near X_0^{sing} .**

- ω_t converges to ω_0 smoothly on compact subsets of X_0^{reg} , and (X_t, ω_t) GH-converges globally to the completion of (X_0^{reg}, ω_0) . Volume fixed, diameter bounded above. (Rong-Zhang 2011)

Question: Could the singular set of the completion of (X_0^{reg}, ω_0) be much larger than the singular set of the variety X_0 ?

- No! (Donaldson-Sun 2014, Song 2015)

- All sequential pointed GH limits $(X_0, \lambda_j^2 \omega_0, x)$, where $x \in X_0^{sing}$ and $\lambda_j \rightarrow +\infty$, are **metric cones**. (Cheeger-Colding 2000)

Metric cone: a metric space of the form $C = C(Y) = [0, \infty) \times Y$ (Y is a complete geodesic metric space of diameter at most π , can be singular) with metric “ $g_C = dr^2 + r^2 g_Y$ ”.

- All sequential pointed GH limits $(X_0, \lambda_j^2 \omega_0, x)$, where $x \in X_0^{sing}$ and $\lambda_j \rightarrow +\infty$, are **metric cones**. (Cheeger-Colding 2000)

Metric cone: a metric space of the form $C = C(Y) = [0, \infty) \times Y$ (Y is a complete geodesic metric space of diameter at most π , can be singular) with metric “ $g_C = dr^2 + r^2 g_Y$ ”.

Question: Do we see the same cone at every scale? Is the limit independent of our choice of sequence $\lambda_j \rightarrow +\infty$?

- All sequential pointed GH limits $(X_0, \lambda_j^2 \omega_0, x)$, where $x \in X_0^{sing}$ and $\lambda_j \rightarrow +\infty$, are **metric cones**. (Cheeger-Colding 2000)

Metric cone: a metric space of the form $C = C(Y) = [0, \infty) \times Y$ (Y is a complete geodesic metric space of diameter at most π , can be singular) with metric “ $g_C = dr^2 + r^2 g_Y$ ”.

Question: Do we see the same cone at every scale? Is the limit independent of our choice of sequence $\lambda_j \rightarrow +\infty$?

- Yes! (Donaldson-Sun 2015)

Heavily uses the algebraic structure of Kähler metric cones, e.g. the growth rates of holomorphic functions are algebraic numbers, hence remain constant as the cone varies continuously.

- All sequential pointed GH limits $(X_0, \lambda_j^2 \omega_0, x)$, where $x \in X_0^{sing}$ and $\lambda_j \rightarrow +\infty$, are **metric cones**. (Cheeger-Colding 2000)

Metric cone: a metric space of the form $C = C(Y) = [0, \infty) \times Y$ (Y is a complete geodesic metric space of diameter at most π , can be singular) with metric “ $g_C = dr^2 + r^2 g_Y$ ”.

Question: Do we see the same cone at every scale? Is the limit independent of our choice of sequence $\lambda_j \rightarrow +\infty$?

- Yes! (Donaldson-Sun 2015)

Heavily uses the algebraic structure of Kähler metric cones, e.g. the growth rates of holomorphic functions are algebraic numbers, hence remain constant as the cone varies continuously.

Open Question: Given $x \in X_0^{sing}$, how to determine the metric tangent cone to (X_0, ω_0) at x ?

Warning: There are local examples of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics where the underlying variety has an isolated singularity but the metric tangent cone has rays of singularities. (H-Naber 2013)

Warning: There are local examples of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics where the underlying variety has an isolated singularity but the metric tangent cone has rays of singularities. (H-Naber 2013)

Getting closer to the main theorem, will now describe a class of singularities where such pathologies seem highly unlikely.

Warning: There are local examples of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics where the underlying variety has an isolated singularity but the metric tangent cone has rays of singularities. (H-Naber 2013)

Getting closer to the main theorem, will now describe a class of singularities where such pathologies seem highly unlikely.

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth complex hypersurface of degree $\leq n$ with a Kähler metric ω_Z with $\text{Ric}(\omega_Z) = \omega_Z$. This induces a Ricci-flat Kähler cone metric ω_* on the **complex affine cone** $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ over Z in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . (Calabi 1979)

Warning: There are local examples of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics where the underlying variety has an isolated singularity but the metric tangent cone has rays of singularities. (H-Naber 2013)

Getting closer to the main theorem, will now describe a class of singularities where such pathologies seem highly unlikely.

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth complex hypersurface of degree $\leq n$ with a Kähler metric ω_Z with $\text{Ric}(\omega_Z) = \omega_Z$. This induces a Ricci-flat Kähler cone metric ω_* on the **complex affine cone** $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ over Z in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . (Calabi 1979)

Example: $Z = \{z_1^2 + \cdots + z_{n+1}^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$

$C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z) = \{z_1^2 + \cdots + z_{n+1}^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$

Ricci-flat Kähler cone metric $\omega_* = i\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^{2(n-1)/n}$ on $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$

$C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z) = C(Y)$, $Y = T_1S^n$, fibration $S^1 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$

For $n = 2$: $Z = \text{conic} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z) = \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$, $Y = T_1S^2 = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$.

But for $n \geq 3$, Y is **not** a spherical space form, $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z) \not\cong \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$.

Theorem (H-Sun): Assume the following:

- $n \geq 3$
- $X_t = \{f_t = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a family of complex hypersurfaces of degree $n + 2$, smooth for $t \neq 0$, singular for $t = 0$.
- X_0 has at worst isolated canonical singularities, and ω_0 is the unique weak Ricci-flat Kähler metric cohomologous to $\omega_{\text{FS}}|_{X_0}$.
- Each singularity of X_0 is of the form $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$, where $Z \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is a hypersurface of degree $\leq n$ with a Kähler metric ω_Z such that $\text{Ric}(\omega_Z) = \omega_Z$. (Here Z may vary from point to point.)
- ω_* is Calabi's Ricci-flat Kähler cone metric on $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$.

Then the following conclusion holds:

Every singularity of X_0 has a small open neighborhood V such that there exists a biholomorphism $\Phi : U \rightarrow V$ with some small open neighborhood U of the apex in $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ such that

$$|\nabla_{\omega_*}^k (\Phi^* \omega_0 - \omega_*)|_{\omega_*} = O(r^{\lambda-k})$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Remarks:

- This provides the first known examples of compact Ricci-flat manifolds with non-orbifold isolated conical singularities.

Remarks:

- This provides the first known examples of compact Ricci-flat manifolds with non-orbifold isolated conical singularities.
- New gluing constructions: special Lagrangians, G_2 -manifolds with and without singularities, ...?

Remarks:

- This provides the first known examples of compact Ricci-flat manifolds with non-orbifold isolated conical singularities.
- New gluing constructions: special Lagrangians, G_2 -manifolds with and without singularities, ...?
- There exist many admissible model cones $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ beyond the 'standard' example where $Z \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is a quadric. E.g. for $n = 3$, Z can be any smooth cubic; then Calabi's Ricci-flat Kähler cone metric ω_* on $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ is not explicit and has no Killing fields.

Remarks:

- This provides the first known examples of compact Ricci-flat manifolds with non-orbifold isolated conical singularities.
- New gluing constructions: special Lagrangians, G_2 -manifolds with and without singularities, ...?
- There exist many admissible model cones $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ beyond the 'standard' example where $Z \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is a quadric. E.g. for $n = 3$, Z can be any smooth cubic; then Calabi's Ricci-flat Kähler cone metric ω_* on $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ is not explicit and has no Killing fields.
- We get polynomial convergence even if the tangent cone is not Jacobi integrable. This is not just an added bonus: our method is incapable of pinning down the tangent cone *without* at the same time establishing polynomial convergence.

Remarks:

- This provides the first known examples of compact Ricci-flat manifolds with non-orbifold isolated conical singularities.
- New gluing constructions: special Lagrangians, G_2 -manifolds with and without singularities, ...?
- There exist many admissible model cones $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ beyond the 'standard' example where $Z \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is a quadric. E.g. for $n = 3$, Z can be any smooth cubic; then Calabi's Ricci-flat Kähler cone metric ω_* on $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ is not explicit and has no Killing fields.
- We get polynomial convergence even if the tangent cone is not Jacobi integrable. This is not just an added bonus: our method is incapable of pinning down the tangent cone *without* at the same time establishing polynomial convergence.
- We do not really need X_t , X_0 , Z to be hypersurfaces.

Outline of proof

Introduce a new parameter $s \in [0, 1]$ and a new family of Kähler metrics ω_s on X_0^{reg} . Here ω_0 is again the unique weak Ricci-flat metric on X_0^{reg} , with as of now unknown asymptotics.

ω_1 is a 'brute force' initial metric: it is equal to Calabi's ω_* model (hence Ricci-flat and precisely conical) near each singularity, but completely arbitrary in the interior of X_0^{reg} .

For $s \in (0, 1)$ define ω_s as the unique weak solution to a Monge-Ampère equation $MA(\omega_s) = f_s$, where f_s interpolates between $MA(\omega_1)$ and whatever right-hand side makes ω_0 Ricci-flat.

Easy key property: Each singularity has a fixed neighborhood V such that $\omega_s|_V$ is Ricci-flat for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

Remains to prove: The set $\mathcal{S} = \{s \in [0, 1] : \omega_s \text{ has nice conical asymptotics at each singularity of } X_0\}$ is open and closed.

1) \mathcal{S} is open.

Given $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, then for all $s \in [0, 1]$ close to s_0 we want to solve $MA(\omega_s) = f_s$ for an ω_s with nice conical asymptotics.

Ansatz: $\omega_s = \omega_{s_0} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_s$ with $\sup |\varphi_s| = O(|s - s_0|)$

Since ω_{s_0} solves $MA(\omega_{s_0}) = f_{s_0}$, we may hope to construct φ_s by an implicit function theorem. Since ω_{s_0} has nice asymptotics, the linearization of MA at ω_{s_0} , i.e. the Laplacian $\Delta_{\omega_{s_0}}$ acting on scalar functions, is invertible in weighted function spaces. But we really need $i\partial\bar{\partial} \circ \Delta_{\omega_0}^{-1}$ to be a bounded operator, and this does not follow from general elliptic theory in weighted spaces.

Theorem (H-Sun): *Let $C = C(Y)$ be a Ricci-flat Kähler cone (Y smooth) with cone metric ω_* . If $\Delta_{\omega_*} h = 0$ and if $h \sim r^\mu$ for some $\mu \in [0, 2]$, then $i\partial\bar{\partial} h = \mathcal{L}_X \omega_*$ for some holomorphic vector field X on C commuting with dilations.*

Here we are not assuming that (C, ω_*) is of the form $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ with a Calabi metric, e.g. (C, ω_*) could certainly be irregular.

2) \mathcal{S} is closed.

Closedness would follow from Yau's estimates if they could be applied. But this requires the model cone to have a one-sided sectional curvature bound—which holds only for flat cones.

Let $s_i \in \mathcal{S}$ with $s_i \rightarrow s_\infty \in [0, 1]$. Thanks to Donaldson-Sun, the metric ω_{s_∞} has a unique tangent cone $C(Y_\infty)$. This may be different from the given model cone $C = C(Y) = C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$, which is by assumption the tangent cone of ω_{s_i} for all $i < \infty$.

If Y_∞ were smooth, with polynomial convergence of ω_{s_∞} to the metric of $C(Y_\infty)$, then the general openness theorem of 1) would immediately tell us that $s_\infty \in \mathcal{S}$.

In reality we need to argue as follows. First, $\text{Vol}(Y_\infty) \leq \text{Vol}(Y)$ by Bishop-Gromov, *morally* with equality if and only if $Y_\infty \cong Y$. Second, $\text{Vol}(Y_\infty) \geq \text{Vol}(Y)$ by considerations of K -stability (this is a beautiful recent result of [Chi Li and Yuchen Liu](#)), also *morally* with equality if and only if $Y_\infty \cong Y$. So $\text{Vol}(Y_\infty) = \text{Vol}(Y)$, and it appears we can go either way for the equality discussion.

Going through the equality case in Bishop-Gromov to prove that $Y_\infty \cong Y$ is technically beyond us. So we go through the equality case in Li-Liu, using that our model cone is an affine cone.

By Donaldson-Sun there is a filtration of the local ring \mathcal{O}_x whose associated graded ring degenerates to the coordinate algebra of $C(Y_\infty)$, with constant Hilbert functions. This filtration is always coarser than the standard $\mathcal{O}_x \supset \mathfrak{m}_x \supset \mathfrak{m}_x^2 \supset \dots$. But $C(Y_\infty)$ and $C(Y) = C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ have the same Hilbert function as well, and since $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$ is an affine cone locally isomorphic to (X, x) , this is equal to the Hilbert function of the standard $\mathcal{O}_x \supset \mathfrak{m}_x \supset \dots$. Thus $C(Y_\infty)$ is a degeneration of the model cone $C_{\mathbb{C}}(Z)$. Standard K -stability kicks in (Berman 2015), implying that $Y_\infty \cong Y$.

Once we know that $C(Y_\infty) \cong C(Y)$, polynomial convergence of ω_{s_∞} to the tangent cone metric ([which is crucially needed as input for the openness of \$\mathcal{S}\$ at \$s_\infty\$](#)) follows using the method of Allard-Almgren even without assuming integrability. The reason is that the tangent cone is locally biholomorphic to the original space, and the Kähler-Ricci-flat equation never has any nonintegrable linearized solutions preserving the complex structure.